

The Caribbean Coastal Co-management and Coral Regeneration Programme

4Cs JAMAICA PROJECT

SOCIOECONOMIC MONITORING AND FISHERIES MANAGEMENT PLANNING FOR THE NEGRIL MARINE PARK

Implemented by



Foundation for the
Peoples of the South
Pacific International



In collaboration with



Centre for Resource Management and Environmental Studies



Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society

Funded by



Prepared by
Centre for Resource Management and Environmental Studies
University of the West Indies

November 2005

Acknowledgements:

Funding for socio-economic monitoring was provided by a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) CoralReef Conservation Grant Program to the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS).

Citation:

CERMES. 2005. Socio-economic monitoring and fisheries management planning for the Negril Marine Park. 26pp.

This document has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Community. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and can therefore in no way be taken to reflect the official opinion of the European Commission.

The funds of this project are provided by the European Commission and administered by the Foundation for the Peoples of the South Pacific International (FSPI) through Counterpart Caribbean (Contract number B7-6200/2002/066-075/RPA).

Content

1. About this report.....	1
2. Socioeconomic information for managing fisheries in the Negril Marine Park.....	2
3. Presentation shown at 58 th annual meeting of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI).....	16
4. Socio-economic Monitoring Report draft Table of Content.....	21
5. Negril Marine Park Fisheries Management Plan draft Table of Content.....	23
6. Communications strategy for the Negril Marine Park fisheries management plan (FMP).....	26

1. About this report

This report contains five parts or outputs. The first part is a paper by Pena and others that was presented by Maria Pena (a CERMES project officer) at the 58th annual meeting of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI) in San Andres, Columbia in November 2005. The paper will be published in the Proceedings of the 58th GCFI that should be available by November 2006. The second part of the report shows the slides that were presented to deliver the paper at the conference.

The contents of the GCFI paper cover the two main initiatives of the 4Cs project in Negril, Jamaica. These are socio-economic monitoring (SocMon) funded by a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Coral Reef Conservation Grant Program to the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS) and fisheries management planning funded by the 4Cs project. These two initiatives were integrated and implemented together.

The third and fourth parts of the report set out the draft tables of contents of the reports on socio-economic monitoring and fisheries management planning. Neither report is complete at this time. The NCRPS has received a no-cost extension from NOAA to complete the SocMon report by mid 2006 given the wealth of information that it will contain. Preparing the first draft of the fisheries management plan (FMP) has taken longer than originally anticipated due to the need to supply technical information that lies beyond the scope of the implementing agencies to acquire and incorporate without significant consultation. These consultations are essential (see draft training module on participatory fisheries management planning), but time-consuming. The first draft of the fisheries management plan is also likely to be completed in mid 2006.

The fifth and final part is the communications strategy for the Negril Marine Park (NMP) fisheries management plan that sets out several parameters, features, products and pathways for ensuring that the FMP successfully reaches multiple audiences and stakeholders. Most of these products can be created once the first draft of the FMP is finalised. It is likely that several drafts of the FMP and versions of the related products will be necessary to formally conclude the management planning process. This may take a year or more and is a follow-up to the 4Cs project in which CERMES expects to be engaged as part of its regional outreach initiative.

2. Socioeconomic information for managing fisheries in the Negril Marine Park

PENA, M.,¹ K. BLACKMAN¹, C. HANSON²,
P. McCONNAY¹ and M. MILLER³

¹*Centre for Resource Management and Environmental Studies,
UWI, Cave Hill Campus, Barbados
mpena@uwichill.edu.bb*

²*Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society, Negril, Jamaica*

³*Caribbean Regional Environment Programme, Negril, Jamaica*

ABSTRACT

The Negril Marine Park (NMP) was declared a marine protected area (MPA) in 1998. It comprises 160 square kilometers of coral reefs, seagrass beds, mangroves, beaches and cliffs at the western end of Jamaica, and is the marine component of the larger Negril Environmental Protection Area (EPA) that includes five watersheds and a major wetland (the Great Morass). The NMP is co-managed by the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS), a multi-stakeholder non-governmental organization, under a delegation instrument signed by the government of Jamaica in 2002. Government policy emphasizes effective conservation and sustainable livelihoods as key management goals. Fishing is second only to tourism as the major marine economic activity in the NMP. However many habitats are severely degraded, and the park is heavily fished in inshore areas by people suspected to be living in or near poverty. Research was conducted in 2004 and 2005 to incorporate socioeconomic information on these people into the first fisheries management plan (FMP) for the NMP. The SocMon Caribbean methodology was used. This included secondary data analysis, interviews with key informants, a survey of households in ten settlements in and bordering the NMP, and validation workshops to confirm the findings with participants while promoting stakeholder involvement in management. Information on education, perceptions of resource status, management responsibility and participation, communication, interactions between fisheries and tourism and among fishers, income sources and livelihood strategies was incorporated into the fisheries management plan. Incorporating socioeconomic information in marine protected area and fisheries management is highly recommended, especially in situations where conservation decisions are likely to impact negatively on livelihoods, and mitigation measures or alternatives need to be developed with the resource users.

Key words: fisheries, MPA, Negril, socioeconomic

Información socioeconómica para el manejo de pesquerías en el Parque Marino de Negril

PENA, M.,¹ K. BLACKMAN¹, C.HANSON², P. McCONNAY¹ and M. MILLER³

¹*Centro para el Manejo de Recursos y Estudios Ambientales,
UWI, Cave Hill Campus, Barbados
mpena@uwichill.edu.bb*

²*Sociedad para la Preservación de Arrecifes de Coral, Negril Jamaica*

³*Programa Ambiental Regional Caribeño, Negril, Jamaica*

RESUMEN

El Parque Marino de Negril (PMN) fue declarado area marina protegida (AMP) en 1998. Se compone de 160 kilometros cuadrados de arrecifes de coral, pastos marinos, manglares, playas y acantilados que se encuentran en el lado oeste de Jamaica, y es el componente marino de una extensa Area Protegida Ambiental de Negril que incluye siete cuencas hidrográficas y un extenso humedal (el Great Morass). El PMN es co-manejado por la Sociedad para la Preservación de Arrecifes de Coral de Negril (NCRPS), una organización no gubernamental compuesta de multi – usuarios, bajo un instrumento de delegación firmado por el gobierno de Jamaica en el 2002. Las políticas gubernamentales enfatizan efectiva conservación y subsistencia sostenible como metas claves de manejo. Despues de turismo la pesca es la mayor actividad económica marina en el PMN. Sin embargo se observa degradacion severa de varios habitats, y la parte costera del parque se encuentra bajo pesca extensiva por parte de pobladores que se sospecha viven en o casi en pobreza extrema. Se condujo una investigación en 2004 y 2005 para incorporar información sobre estos pobladores dentro del primer plan de manejo de pesca (PMP). Se utilizo la metodologia de SocmMon caribeño. Esto incluyó análisis de datos secundarios, entrevistas con informantes claves, sondeo de hogares en diez asentamientos dentro y alrededor del PMN, y taller de validación a fin de confirmar los hallazgos con los participantes en tanto se promueve el involucramiento de usuarios en el manejo. Información sobre educación, percepción del estatus de los recursos, participación y responsabilidad en el manejo, comunicación, interacción entre pesca y turismo y entre otros pescadores, fuente de ingreso y estrategias de subsistencia fueron incorporados dentro del plan de manejo de pesca. Incorporar información socioeconómica dentro del APM y manejo de pesca es muy recomendable, especialmente en situaciones donde las decisiones respecto a conservación están sujetas a impactar negativamente en la subsistencia, y alternativas o medidas de mitigación necesitan ser desarrollados en conjunto con los usuarios.

PALABRAS CLAVES: pesca, APM, Negril, socioeconómico

INTRODUCTION

World renowned for its long white sand beaches, Negril is a resort town located at the western end of Jamaica. It evolved from an area of rural community tourism in the early 1960s into a premier mass tourism destination, home to large hotel chains, some of which are all-inclusive (Christophersen *et al.* 1997; Otuokon 1997; Jones Williams 2000; Otuokon 2001; Thacker and Hanson, 2003). Negril is Jamaica's third largest tourist area and is reputed to generate more income than either of the other two major resort areas, Ocho Rios and Montego Bay (Otuokon 2001). Growth and development of the area have brought benefits for many but at considerable costs to the environment (Goreau *et al.* 1995). Negril's natural resources, which are the very attractions being promoted, have been degraded mainly due to the rapid and expansive development of the tourist area without systems to protect its resources (Thacker and Hanson 2003). Land-based pollution and natural impacts have caused a decline in the health of habitats and abundance of desirable marine species (Christophersen *et al.* 1997).

In response to concerns that Negril's coral reefs were being negatively impacted by an increase in tourist-related activities, the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS) was established in 1990 by a group of scuba divers and dive operators (Otuokon 2001; Garaway and Esteban 2002; Thacker and Hanson 2003). The NCRPS is a non-profit, non-governmental, charitable organisation. Initially, the primary goal of the NCRPS was to protect the coral reefs from further decline through the elimination of anchor damage due to increasing numbers of recreational boats in the area and to create a national marine park. However, this was later expanded to include efforts at whole watershed and reef management (Otuokon 2001; Garaway and Esteban 2002; Thacker and Hanson 2003).

Study site

It was recognised that the establishment of a conservation area that would regulate land use, institute and implement terrestrial and marine public parks, and manage the preservation of Negril's natural resources was required (Otuokon 2001; Garaway and Esteban 2002; Thacker and Hanson 2003). In 1997 the first Environmental Protection Area (EPA) in Jamaica, the Negril EPA, was established. It comprises five watersheds, one major wetland (the Great Morass), and the Negril Marine Park (NMP). The NMP was legally established in 1998, encompassing an area of approximately 160km², the boundaries of which extend from Davis Cove on the north coast to St. John's Point in the south and seaward from the high water mark to the deep water drop off (NEPT, NRCA and NGIALPA 1997; CARECO 2001; Geoghegan *et. al* 2001; Otuokon 2001; Richards 2001; O'Sullivan 2002; NCRPS 2003; Thacker and Hanson 2003). (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Environmental Protection Area including the Negril Marine Park

The objective of the NMP is to preserve the natural marine and coastal resources to protect their health and integrity while simultaneously allowing sustainable economic and social development within the Negril EPA (Thacker and Hanson 2003). The NMP has been divided into eight zones (swimming, non-motorized craft, motorized craft, diving, replenishment, fishing, anchorage and no fly) that are yet to be comprehensively demarcated.

The main recreational activities within the NMP are waterskiing, scuba diving, snorkelling, sunset cruises, parasailing, jet skiing, artisanal and recreational fishing. Activities of the NMP and surrounding areas in order of socio-economic importance are tourism, fishing and farming (Goreau et al 1995; Christophersen et. al 1997; Jones Williams 2000; Otuokon *et al.* 2000; Geoghegan *et al.* 2001; Francis 2002; Garaway and Esteban 2002; O’Sullivan 2002).

Management of the Negril Marine Park

In 2002 the Natural Resources Conservation Authority (NRCA) delegated management of the NMP to the NCRPS. The Delegation Instrument sets out the terms of management including obligations and functions of the parties involved and details the framework of implementation (NRCA 2002). According to this agreement, the NCRPS must "develop, implement and monitor plans and programmes" for the marine park that are in keeping with the Marine Park Management Plan with activities related to public awareness, research and training. The NCRPS is also to revise the Management Plan as appropriate, in collaboration with the National Environment and Planning Agency (NEPA), and act as an advisor to the NRCA on policy issues concerning the park's natural resources. The delegation is for a five-year term, renewable on agreement by both parties.

Following consultations of various types (meetings with key stakeholders, government and private sector representatives and workshops) a management plan, operations plan and business plan were formulated by the NCRPS during the period 1997 to 2003 for the NMP. The overall aim of the management plan is to guide the management team of the NMP in directing and implementing the programmes and activities necessary for the protection and preservation of the marine and coastal ecosystems within the boundaries of the Park for the sustainable benefit of Negril and Jamaica (Otuokon 1997; Thacker and Hanson 2003). The expansion of tourism, agriculture, logging and fishing has been placing pressure on the NMP environment (Garaway and Esteban 2002). Since there are numerous varied threats to marine environmental health in the NMP with implications for management, the management plan assumes a multi-faceted approach comprising 12 programmes each with management objectives. These programmes address administration; disaster preparedness and emergency management; education; enforcement; financial sustainability; lobbying; public relations; research and monitoring; resource management; sustainable community development; visitor management and zoning issues (Otuokon 1997; Thacker and Hanson 2003).

Fisheries management planning and socioeconomic monitoring at the NMP

Overfishing has impacted on the NMP with heavy fishing occurring in inshore areas by people suspected to be living in or near poverty (Espeut and Grant 1990, Geoghegan *et al.* 2001). Fishery data suggest deterioration in the condition of all resources that the NMP attempts to protect (Garaway and Esteban 2002). In 2000, the NCRPS reported a dramatic decline in fish stocks in Negril. Other research indicated low levels of fish abundance with very few large fish and small sizes of few commercially important species in and adjacent to the NMP (Otuokon 1997; Garaway and Esteban 2002; O'Sullivan 2002). A significant impact on the size of the fish catch due to destructive fishing techniques such as seining, spearfishing and dynamiting has also been observed (Christophersen *et al.* 1997). Many fishermen within the boundaries of the NMP use fish traps or pots having smaller mesh than the size required by law. Some fish are caught before they have a chance to mature and reproduce (NCRPS 2003). Evidence suggests that stocks are not recovering.

Of special relevance is the resource management programme of the NMP management plan, particularly the third objective: the improvement of fish stocks within the NMP through implementation of a Fisheries Management Plan (FMP) for the marine park. Related to this programme is the NMP's sustainable community development programme, one objective of which is to support and implement training programmes that promote projects designed to sustain the community economically and protect the resources. Our paper focuses on the socioeconomic information on Negril fisheries required for the formulation of the marine park's first FMP.

In general, the benefits that most fisheries produce diminish if there is no proper management. Since management of natural resources requires people, and it is the people who ultimately either mis-manage or sustainably manage natural resources, then approaches to fisheries management which involve stakeholders and use social science are desirable (Berkes *et al.* 2001). Local community attitudes towards, and the uses of, coastal resources have serious implications for the health of coastal marine systems. Simultaneously, the management of coastal resources has equally significant implications for the socioeconomic health of the community. Socioeconomic information is crucial for effective coastal management (Bunce and Pomeroy 2003) and hence, should be incorporated in fisheries management planning (Berkes *et al.* 2001).

Socioeconomic monitoring can help us to understand the contexts of coastal resource use by various stakeholders. This is essential for assessing, predicting and managing coastal resource use over time (McConney 2005). SocMon is a set of guidelines for establishing a socioeconomic monitoring programme useful for coastal management at the site level. These guidelines (Bunce and Pomeroy 2003) provide a prioritized list of socioeconomic variables useful to coastal managers as well as questions for data collection and the tables for data analysis and are used in combination with the *Socioeconomic Manual for Coral Reef Management*.

METHOD

Following extensive review of the secondary data available on the Negril area, 88 household surveys were conducted by the NCRPS in 2005 to gather further information on ways to improve management in the NMP. The convenience sample was not designed to be statistically representative, but mainly to provide better understanding of perceptions, practices and attitudes. The surveys were conducted in 10 fisheries-oriented communities within and adjacent to the NMP: Little Bay, Savanna-la-mar, Lucea, Orange Bay, Salmon Point, Green Island, Brighton, Broughton, South Negril and Davis Cove. Survey data analysed via SPSS and Excel provided descriptive statistics. These results were presented at workshops with the interviewers and fisheries stakeholders (including fisheries officials, NCRPS, Caribbean Regional Environmental Programme (CREP)) for comments and to gain their input on how this information will assist management of the NMP. Data and information considered to be relevant to fisheries management were selected for incorporation into the FMP.

RESULTS

Demographics

Most of the respondents (95%) were male and over 40 years old with primary school education (56%). Over 35% of the respondents were Pentecostal, 15% were Roman Catholic and 10% were Seventh Day Adventist.

Perceptions of resource status

The state of the coastal and marine resources (inshore and offshore reefs, mangroves, seagrass beds and beaches) has declined over the years. The majority of the respondents believe that ten years ago both the inshore and offshore reefs were in very good condition, but are now in very bad shape (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Worsening state of coastal resources over the past 10 years

Management responsibility and participation

Most of the respondents believed that the NCRPS (71%), government (65%) and resource users (64%) were the three main groups responsible for solving problems of the park; while Negril Green Island Area Local Planning Authority (NGIALPA) and parish councils were thought to have the least responsibility (Figure 3). The government was suggested as being the most responsible for taking decisions for managing the marine park (37%). Thirty-six percent believed that NCRPS was the second most responsible followed by resource users (15%). Respondents (36%) believed they had little influence on management; 26% had none while 25% believed they had some influence (Figure 4). 51% of respondents did not participate in NMP management. The main reason for their lack of participation (according to 58%) was due to not being informed. Of the respondents, 22% would be motivated to participate if they were aware of the meetings; 12% would be motivated if benefits were provided; while 14% would not be motivated at all.




Figure 3. Responsibility for solving problems of the park




Figure 4. Comparison of influence on management

Communication

Twenty-six percent of the respondents suggested multiple methods for disseminating information about the NMP to the public; but 20% suggested the television to be the best source of information. Thirty percent believed the telephone was the most successful means of informing the NCRPS about NMP issues; while 20% suggested a visit to the office.

Income sources and livelihoods strategies

The majority of respondents were fishers (76%) and have no secondary occupation (Figure 5). Eighty percent of the respondents had no other sources of income. In terms of alternative income generation strategies, 23% of respondents would like to get into tourism; however 39% had no other alternative income generation strategies. The barrier to this alternative income is mainly financial reasons (60%) (Figure 6). Most of the respondents (88.2%) were not involved in training for alternative occupations (Figure 6). Thirty five percent believe that training is not necessary, while 25% said no opportunity existed for them and 13% noted that age constraints prohibited them from participating in job training.




Figure 5. Primary work of respondents




Figure 6. Barriers to achieving alternative income

Interactions between fishers and tourism

Most of the respondents (92%) believed that fishing and tourism were compatible activities within the NMP. Of that 92%, 51% believed both were compatible as they provided

income and employment. The largest response (38%) believed the amount of tourism in the NMP was just right. Similarly, they (52%) believed the amount of fishing was just right (Figure 7).

Most of the respondents (67%) believed that fishers could work together among themselves to solve fishery problems in the marine park. Means of cooperation included sharing ideas (56%) and holding discussion meetings (15%). Thirty-six percent of respondents stated that fishers would be unable to work together among themselves to solve fishery problems in the marine park without assistance and supervision.




Figure 7. Perception of amount of fishing throughout the settlement in the NMP

DISCUSSION

There has been no pro-active management of fisheries in the NMP. With the exception of sparse commercial fish landing data, and annual Reef Check monitoring initiated in 2001, detailed surveys of the fishery resources in the NMP have not been conducted on a regular basis. However, Christophersen *et al.* note that the Plan for Manging the Marine Fisheries of Jamaica (1997) and discussions with Negril fishermen indicate that several species are targeted depending on the location and time of the year. Numerous studies have noted that size and type of catch have declined mainly as a result of habitat degradation and increased fishing pressure (Espeut and Grant 1990; Aiken 1991; Christophersen *et al.* 1997; Otuokon 1997; Garaway and Esteban 2002; O’Sullivan 2002). Without suitable management interventions fish stocks will continue to decline, thus ensuring that the objective of the NMP will not be met.

Socio-economic and demographic data are important indicators for monitoring the impact of management and development regulations (Christophersen *et al.* 1997). As such, with the drafting and ultimate implementation of the first FMP for the NMP, it is essential that social, economic and demographic information of all stakeholders (specifically fishers engaged in fishing in the inshore areas of the NMP) be incorporated into the planning process since it is these people who will potentially be impacted by the regulations.

The overall findings of socio-economic surveys reveal that communities in Negril accept co-management of the NMP, leaving responsibility to the government and non-governmental organizations. Furthermore, the communities believe they have limited influence in management of the park. Communities must therefore be encouraged to participate in managing the Negril Marine Park. Fishermen need to be made aware of the fact that they have a key role to play in the management of marine resources and are necessary as partners in the management of the NMP. Most of the communities do not participate in management due to the lack of communication and inadequacy in informing the communities on management issues.

In order to increase the level of stakeholder participation in natural resource management within the NMP, park managers must find other effective methods of informing the communities and continually keeping them involved in the park management process. However, in order to be effective, approaches to public education should be carefully chosen or designed to cater to both the literate and illiterate members of these communities, especially since the majority of respondents have only a primary level of formal education. Participation may be encouraged through several methods such as regular community meetings at appropriate venues for the fishing community, community liaisons, developing community outreach programmes to raise the awareness and enhance the public's participation and developing stable organisations for stakeholders in the harvest sector (e.g. fishers, charter boat fishers) and those within the post harvest sector (e.g. restaurant owners, sea food outlets). Additionally, the development and strengthening of community associations to assist stakeholder groups in participating in management of the area should be addressed as another means of increasing participation.

Co-management of the NMP is essential to successful fisheries management. Communities can work with the government combining scientific and local knowledge while sharing responsibility in decision-making. Co-management can also be helpful in resolving resource user conflicts. Results have shown that in the NMP it is possible that fishers can cooperate with each other and also cooperate with other users in the tourism sector in the management of coastal resources specifically because both depend on these resources for income and employment. However, communities must have a sense of ownership of the park resources, in order for fisheries protection to be successful. From the surveys it is apparent that communities surrounding the NMP do feel a sense of ownership for the resources since many of the respondents thought that resource users were responsible for solving problems in the park. However, they continue to contribute to resource decline.

The surveys showed that local knowledge of the resource condition is consistent with scientific evidence which documents deterioration of coastal and marine resources. The concept of stakeholder ownership of the park's resources should be encouraged and could be a means of contributing to the improved management of fishery resources through adherence to management regulations. However, although communities have recognised that there have been declines in fishery resources, when surveyed they stated that the amount of fishing within the NMP was 'just right' indicating that they believed fishing was not a problem there.

For the communities surveyed, fishing is the primary and secondary occupation with the majority of them dependent on fish as a major source of food. Fishing may therefore be considered to be the mainstay of community livelihoods adjacent to the NMP. Full and part-time fisher families from communities in and around the NMP may be affected by possible restrictions on fishing and fishing effort within the NMP with the implementation of the FMP. It should also be noted that displacement of these fishers (who represent the poorest fishermen in

Negril) due to management regulations will have a significant impact on their income generation capabilities.

Therefore, the government should seek to create alternative job opportunities and implement other strategies to protect the livelihoods of the people living in and around the park. Training for alternative livelihood opportunities and re-training in areas that would provide fishermen with the necessary skills to compete for employment in the NMP or park-related activities should be examined and initiated. Alternative livelihood options are limited and should be related to their present profession to be successful. They must also be economically feasible from the fishermen's perspective. If alternatives are not financially appealing, adoption of the regulations to protect the marine and coastal resources of the park will not be forthcoming unless subsidies and or incentives are offered. Moreover, the older population may be reluctant to change from fishing to an alternative occupation. Most of the respondents are not pursuing any training in alternative occupations therefore the park must aim towards training the minority of younger fishers in new fishing techniques, practices or new occupations. New job opportunities can include tourism and alternative types of fishing and these are consistent with the fishers' culture and knowledge base. New strategies must be developed to deal with the older fishers. Emphasis should be placed on educating them on sustainable fishing practices and introducing the concept of complimentary livelihoods.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for socio-economic monitoring was provided by a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Coral Reef Conservation Grant to the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS).

LITERATURE CITED

- Aiken, K. 1991. Fisheries and marine conservation. Pages 71-82 *in*: Quirolo, D. and K. Thacker (eds.). *Protecting Jamaica's Coral Reefs: Final report of the Negril reef mooring buoy workshop and installation project*, Reef Relief and Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society, Key West Florida.
- Berkes, F., R. Mahon, P. McConney, R. Pollnac and R. Pomeroy. 2001. *Managing small-scale fisheries: Alternative directions and methods*. International Development Research Centre, Ontario, Canada. 308p.
- Bunce, L. and B. Pomeroy. 2003. *Socioeconomic monitoring guidelines for coastal managers in the Caribbean: SocMon Caribbean*. World Commission on Protected Areas and Australian Institute of Marine Science. 88p.
- Caribbean Eco-systems (CARECO). 2001. Negril environmental protected area: Fish River and Negril Hills. Prepared for the Negril Area Environmental Protection Trust (NEPT). March 2001. 47p.
- Christophersen, K.A., F. Homer and S.J. Grant. 1997. Sustainable interventions for Negril fisher families. Prepared for USAID/Jamaica, Development of Environmental Management Organisations (DEMO). 51p.

- Espeut, P. and S. Grant. 1990. An economic and social analysis of small-scale fisheries in Jamaica. ISER, UWI, Mona. 80p.
- Francis, S.K.Y. 2002. *An assessment of the impacts of two successfully implemented marine protected areas on the livelihoods of stakeholders in Jamaica and the Turks and Caicos Islands*. MSc. Thesis, University of the West Indies, Barbados. 133p.
- Garaway, C. and N. Esteban. 2002. The impact of marine protected areas on poorer communities living in and around them: Institutional opportunities and constraints. Appendix 5- Case study of Negril Marine Park, Jamaica. December 2002. MRAG Ltd., London, UK. 37p.
- Geoghegan, T., A.H. Smith, and K. Thacker. 2001. Characterization of Caribbean marine protected areas: An analysis of ecological, organisational and socio-economic factors. CANARI Technical Report N° 287
- Goreau, T., J. Brown, E. Gordon, G. Gordon, V. Guthrie, N. Ruddock, R. Evans, and K. Thacker. 1995. Negril & Green Island Area Environmental Protection Plan. <http://globalcoral.org/Negril%20&%20Green%20Island%20Area%20Environmental%20Protection%20Plan.htm>
- Jones Williams, M. 2000. Biodiversity in development project case study series: Jamaica – Negril Coral Reef Preservation Project. EU, DFID, IUCN. 32p.
- McConney, P. 2005. Socioeconomic monitoring for the Negril Marine Park. Negril, Jamaica. 14th April 2005.
- NCRPS. 2003. Business Plan Negril Marine Park. 37p.
- NEPT, NRCA, NGIALPA. 1997. Negril Environmental Protection Plan. Update November 1997. 66p.
- NRCA. 2002. Delegation instrument between the Natural Resources Conservation Authority and the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society. 5p
- Otuokon, S. 1997. Negril Marine Park Management Plan. Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society.
- Otuokon, S., K. Thacker and C. Hanson with assistance from CANARI. 2000. Memorandum of understanding between the Negril Area Environmental Protection Trust and the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society. 8p.
- Otuokon, S. 2001. Case study of the Negril Environmental Protection Plan. Prepared for the Seminar Integrating Stakeholders in Participatory Natural Resource Management, Kingston, Jamaica, April 2000. CANARI Technical Report N° 284. 10p.

O'Sullivan, C.H. 2002. A preliminary assessment of the ecological impacts of two marine protected areas in the wider Caribbean. MSc Thesis, University of the West Indies, Barbados. 157p.

Richards, R.A. 2001. A review of national policy and legislation contributing to or constraining successful marine protected areas implementation in Belize and Jamaica. MSc. Thesis, University of the West Indies, Barbados. 228p.

Thacker, K. and C. Hanson. 2003. Negril Marine Park Management Plan. Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society. 130p.

3. Presentation shown at the 58th annual meeting of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI)

Socioeconomic information for managing fisheries in the Negril Marine Park

María Pena, Katherine Blackman, Carl Hanson,
Patrick McConney and Malden Miller
GCFI 7-12th November
San Andrés Island, Colombia

Negril

- World renowned for long white sand beaches
- Resort town at the western end of Jamaica
- Area of high tourism growth and development
- Jamaica's third largest tourist area
- Brought socio-economic benefits for some
- Considerable costs to the environment





Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS)

- Established in 1990 by a group of scuba divers and dive operators
- A non-profit, non-governmental, charitable organisation
- *Primary goal:* to protect the coral reefs from further decline and to create a national marine park
- *Revised goal:* Whole watershed and reef management




Negril Marine Park (NMP)

- Is a component of the Negril Environmental Protection Area (EPA)
- Legally established in 1998
- Approximately 160km² in area
- Boundaries extend from Davis Cove on the north coast to St. John's Point in the south



NMP Objective

To preserve the natural marine and coastal resources to protect their health and integrity while simultaneously allowing sustainable economic and social development within the Negril EPA

Socio-economic activities

- Tourism
- Fishing
- Farming

NMP Management

- Since 2002, the NCRPS has been delegated responsibility for co-management of the NMP
- NCRPS must "develop, implement and monitor plans and programmes" for the marine park
- Marine Park Management Plan
 - Multi-faceted approach to management
 - Comprises 12 programmes
 - Activities related to public awareness, research and training

Why fisheries management planning and socioeconomic monitoring at the NMP?

Addressing issues and meeting objectives

Issues in the NMP

- Impacts of unsustainable development
 - Land-based pollution
 - Decline in the health of habitats
 - Decline in abundance of desirable marine species
- No pro-active management of fisheries in the NMP
- Few detailed surveys of the fishery resources
- Reef Check monitoring initiated in 2003
- Sparse commercial fish landing data
- Low levels of fish abundance with very few large fish
- Small sizes of few commercially important species
- Coastal zone management not effective
- Fisheries and MPA policy not fully implemented
- Fisheries livelihoods may not be sustainable



Socioeconomic Monitoring (SocMon)

- Understanding the contexts of coastal resource use by various stakeholders
- Provides indicators of management and development regulations impacts
- Guidelines for establishing socioeconomic monitoring programmes
- Useful for coastal management at the site level



Method

- Secondary data review
- Individual/household surveys
 - 10 fisheries-oriented communities adjacent to the NMP
- Presentation of results
 - workshops with interviewers and fisheries stakeholders
- Incorporation of selected data and information relevant to fisheries management



Surveyed community sites within the NMP



Findings

- Perceptions of resource status
- Management responsibility
- Influence on management
- Income sources and livelihoods
- Alternative income
- Interactions between fishers and tourism

Perceptions of resource status

Local knowledge consistent with scientific evidence



Sharing of NMP management responsibility

- Responsible for solving NMP problems
 - NCRPS (71%),
 - Government (65%)
 - Resource users (64%)
- Most responsible for managing NMP
 - Government (37%)

Public influence on management


Some influence (25%)

Little influence (36%)

No influence (26%)

Room for improving stakeholder participation in management

Income sources and livelihoods



Other livelihoods

Carpentry
Farming
Shop owner
Taxi
Remittances
Factory worker
Pastor
Craft maker
None

Alternative livelihoods

- Lack of alternative income generating strategies
- Financial barrier to alternative income (60%)
- 23% would like to get into tourism
- 88% not involved in training for alternative occupations

Barriers to alternative income

- Attending classes
- No opportunity
- Unable to get a job
- No land
- Fishing problems
- No motivation

Interactions between fishers and tourism

- Fishing and tourism are compatible activities within the NMP
- Amounts of fishing and tourism are in the right balance
- Fishers can work together to solve fishery problems in the marine park (67%), but 36% believe this is not possible

Key learning?

- Communities accept NMP co-management
- Communities believe they have limited influence on park management
- Fishery stakeholders are key for successful fishery management



- Communities must have a sense of ownership of park resources for successful fisheries management
- Resource users should be partly responsible for NMP problem-solving

Adaptive management?

- Stakeholder participation in coastal and fisheries management decision-making
 - Regular community meetings and liaisons
 - Capacity building tailored to needs
 - Community outreach programmes
 - Stakeholder organisation development
- Effective methods of informing communities about park management: communication!
- Alternative livelihood options should be sought
- Experiments, institutional and social learning

Acknowledgements

Funding for socio-economic monitoring was provided by a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Coral Reef Conservation Grant Program to the Negril Coral Reef Preservation Society (NCRPS).

4. Socio-economic Monitoring Report draft Table of Content

1 INTRODUCTION

2 OBJECTIVES

3 METHODS

- 3.1 Work Planning
- 3.2 Location
- 3.3 Participation
- 3.4 Secondary Data
- 3.5 Key Informants
- 3.6 Interview Survey

4 SOCIOECONOMIC PROFILE

- 4.1 Study Area
- 4.2 Demographics
 - 4.2.1 Population
 - 4.2.2 Number of households
 - 4.2.3 Migration rate
 - 4.2.4 Age and gender
 - 4.2.5 Education and literacy
 - 4.2.6 Ethnicity and religion
- 4.3 Occupations and Livelihood Demographics
 - 4.3.1 Occupations
 - 4.3.2 Household size
 - 4.3.3 Household income
 - 4.3.4 Material style of life
- 4.4 Community Infrastructure and Business Development
- 4.5 Resource Uses and Activities
 - 4.5.1 Activities
 - 4.5.2 Goods and services
 - 4.5.3 Types of use
 - 4.5.4 Market and non-market value of goods and services
 - 4.5.5 Goods and services market orientation
 - 4.5.6 Use patterns
 - 4.5.7 Levels and types of impact
 - 4.5.8 Level of use by outsiders
 - 4.5.9 Perceptions of resource conditions
 - 4.5.10 Perceived threats
 - 4.5.11 Awareness of rules and regulations
 - 4.5.12 Compliance
 - 4.5.13 Enforcement
- 4.6 Stakeholders
 - 4.6.1 Pioneers
 - 4.6.2 Other stakeholders
 - 4.6.3 Tourist profile
 - 4.6.4 Stakeholder participation
 - 4.6.5 Community and stakeholder organizations

4.6.6	Membership in stakeholder groups
4.7	Governance
4.7.1	Management body
4.7.2	Management plan
4.7.3	Enabling legislation and policy
4.7.4	Management resources
4.7.5	Formal tenure and rules
4.7.6	Informal tenure and rules, customs and traditions
4.7.7	Participation in decision-making
4.7.8	Perceived coastal management problems
4.7.9	Perceived coastal management solutions
4.7.10	Perceived community problems
4.7.11	Successes in coastal management
4.7.12	Challenges in coastal management
5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS
6	REFERENCES
7	APPENDICES

5. Negril Marine Park Fisheries Management Plan draft Table of Content

Draft table of contents

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Mission of the NMP and NCRPS
- 1.2. Co-management of the NMP
- 1.3. Purpose and process of the FMP
- 1.4. Factors demanding an FMP
- 1.5. Legal authority for the FMP
- 1.6. 'Ownership' of the FMP (governance)
- 1.7. Arrangement of the FMP document

2. National policies and legal framework

- 2.1. National fishing industry profile
- 2.2. NMP in the national context
- 2.3. International and regional agreements
- 2.4. Social and economic development policy
- 2.5. Integrated coastal management policy and laws (tourism, agriculture, environment)
- 2.6. Marine protected areas policy and laws
- 2.7. Fisheries and aquaculture policy and laws
 - 2.7.1. Proposed national laws may require a plan for each major fishery
- 2.8. Integrated coastal management policy and laws (tourism, agriculture, environment)
- 2.9. Marine protected areas policy and laws
- 2.10. Fisheries and aquaculture policy and laws
 - 2.10.1. Proposed national laws may require a plan for each major fishery

3. Negril Marine Park fisheries overview

- 3.1. NMP location and geographic description
- 3.2. Environmental assessment/status
- 3.3. Marine resources [fished and unfished]
- 3.4. NMP fisheries to be managed
 - 3.4.1. Fishing vessels and gear
 - 3.4.2. Landing sites and landings
 - 3.4.3. Socio-economic profiles
- 3.5. Threats and opportunities

4. Fisheries management arrangements

- 4.1. Stakeholders and organisations
 - 4.1.1. Ministry responsible for fisheries and aquaculture
 - 4.1.2. Government agencies relevant to NMP fisheries
 - 4.1.3. Fisheries-related non-governmental organizations
 - 4.1.4. Regional/international agencies and programmes
- 4.2. Fisheries and coastal area research

- 4.3. Monitoring, control and surveillance
- 4.4. Disaster management and recovery
- 4.5. Services and incentives for fisheries

5. Fisheries management context for NMP 2006-2008 plan

- 5.1. Vision for fisheries and aquaculture (based on national vision)
- 5.2. Constraints on achieving the vision
- 5.3. Fisheries governance for the NMP
 - 5.3.1. Multi-agency fisheries management committee for NMP with decision-making power as part of the delegation instrument from government (NEPA, FD, fisher settlements)
- 5.4. Strategic overview of action planned

6. Management and implementation plans for specific fisheries and aquaculture

- 6.1. Shallow-shelf reef fishes
 - 6.1.1. Management plan for shallow-shelf reef fishes
 - 6.1.2. Implementation strategy for period 2006-2008
 - 6.2. Deep-Slope and Bank Reef Fishes
 - 6.2.1. Management Plan for Deep-Slope and Bank Reef Fishes
 - 6.2.2. Implementation strategy for period 2006-2008
 - 6.3. Coastal Pelagics
 - 6.3.1. Management Plan for Coastal Pelagics
 - 6.3.2. Implementation strategy for period 2006-2008
 - 6.4. Large Pelagics
 - 6.4.1. Management Plan for Large Pelagics
 - 6.4.2. Implementation strategy for period 2006-2008
 - 6.5. Lobsters
 - 6.5.1. Management Plan for Lobsters
 - 6.5.2. Implementation strategy for period 2006-2008
 - 6.6. Conch
 - 6.6.1. Management Plan for Conch
 - 6.6.2. Implementation strategy for period 2006-2008
 - 6.7. Seamount aquaculture
 - 6.8. Oyster aquaculture
 - 6.9. Finfish aquaculture
 - 6.10 Shellfish aquaculture
- 7. Glossary of technical terms and management measures
 - 8. References and supplementary reading
 - 9. Appendices

Layout of management and implementation plans for specific fisheries

MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR [SPECIES OR SPECIES GROUP]

Target Species	Common or local name (<i>Scientific name</i>)
By-catch [if applicable]	Common or local name (<i>Scientific name</i>)
Ecology and habitat status	<i>Distribution</i> <i>Growth.</i> <i>Life span</i> <i>Reproduction.</i> <i>Habitat</i>
Description of Fishery	<i>Economic importance</i> <i>Vessel type</i> <i>Fishing gear and methods.</i> <i>Landing sites</i>
Management Unit or Area	
Resource Status	
Catch and Effort Trends	
Livelihoods assessment	
Management Policies and Objectives	
Regulatory History	
Proposed Management Approaches	
Constraints	
Opportunities	

[SPECIES OR SPECIES GROUP] IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR PERIOD [200?-200?]

ISSUES IDENTIFIED	ACTION STRATEGY	RESOURCES REQUIRED
[Based for example on results of community consultations or a scientific study or both]	[What will be done in the present plan period including who will do it and with which approach]	[Gaps in capacity to implement such as finance, training, physical assets, human resources, etc.]
[Repeated for each issue]		
[Repeated for each issue]		

6. Communications strategy for the Negril Marine Park (NMP) fisheries management plan (FMP)

Main objective	Target audiences	Products	Pathways	Remarks
Obtain buy-in and support for FMP at the policy levels of major partners, government	Ministers of government; CEOs of government agencies and partner NGOs; local and external funding bodies	Executive summary doc; brief slide presentation; policy brief; promotional flyer	Targeted mailing; policy events; special displays; face-to-face meetings	High quality and slick appearance of paper and slides; summarized but clear; key points
Widely disseminate the context, content, action items, implementation plan for the FMP to obtain buy-in, support and participation from very diverse civil society stakeholders	As above but minor; all NMP local and national stakeholders; general public; administrators in funding bodies; interest groups in governance	As above but minor; main FMP doc; full slide presentation; poster set; occasional newsletter; mass media releases	General mail and email distribution; download; community meetings; meetings of stakeholder groups; press briefing; field trip information; Junior Rangers training; talks in schools etc.	Suitable for different levels of literacy; context must be relevant to a large number of groups; informing rather than promotional emphasis; balance text with clear graphics
Inform existing and potential scientific, technical and financial partners about the FMP in some detail to facilitate participation in implementation and evaluation	National, regional and international scientific and technical staff; EIA and other consultants; faculty and student researchers; NGOs	Detailed technical and scientific document with statistical appendices; plans for participatory FMP monitoring and evaluation	Visits by researchers and similar others; web site download; articles in primary and grey literature; presentations at national, regional and international meetings; project proposals; EIA and other studies	Clear layout for ease of reference; use technical and scientific styles; use graphics where possible