

Country Code:	MS 2002 Rev. CAP. 5.03
Title:	CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ACT
Country:	MONTSERRAT
Reference:	12/1999
Date of entry into force:	March 1, 1999 (SRO 10/1999)
Date of Amendment:	
Subject:	Children – Law – Montserrat
Key words:	Child abduction; Custody; International child abduction
Notes:	SCHEDULE 1: Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction SCHEDULE 2: European Convention on Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions Concerning Custody of Children
	Child Abduction and Custody Order SR) 11/1999 attached.



CHAPTER 5.03

CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ACT and Subsidiary Legislation

Revised Edition

showing the law as at 1 January 2002

This is a revised edition of the law, prepared by the Law Revision Commissioner under the authority of the Revised Edition of the Laws Act.

This edition contains a consolidation of the following laws—

CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ACT

Act 12 of 1997 .. in force 1 March 1999 (S.R.O. 10/1999)

Page

3

CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ORDER — Sections 4 and 13

S.R.O. 11/1999 .. in force 1 March 1999 Amended by S.R.O. 83/2000

25

CHAPTER 5.03
CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ACT
ARRANGEMENT OF SECTIONS

PART I
PRELIMINARY

SECTION

1. Short title
2. Interpretation

PART II
INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION

3. Convention to have force of law
4. States party to the Convention
5. Central Authority
6. Judicial Authority
7. Interim powers
8. Reports
9. Proof of documents and evidence
10. Declaration by Court
11. Rules of Court

PART III
RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CUSTODY DECISIONS

12. European Convention to have the force of law
13. States party to the Convention
14. Central Authority
15. Recognition of decisions
16. Registration of decisions
17. Variation and revocation of registered decisions
18. Enforcement of decisions
19. Interim powers
20. Reports
21. Proof of documents and evidence
22. Decisions of Montserrat Court
23. Rules of Court

PART IV
SUPPLEMENTARY

24. Termination of existing custody orders, etc.

25. Expenses

SCHEDULE 1: Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction

SCHEDULE 2: European Convention on Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions Concerning Custody of Children

CHAPTER 5.03
CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ACT
(Act 12 of 1997)

AN ACT TO ENABLE MONTSERRAT TO IMPLEMENT THE PROVISIONS OF TWO INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS RELATING RESPECTIVELY TO THE CIVIL ASPECTS OF INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION AND TO THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN CUSTODY DECISIONS.

Commencement

[1 March 1999]

PART I
PRELIMINARY

Short title

1. This Act may be cited as Child Abduction and Custody Act.

Interpretation

2. In this Act "**the Convention**" means—

- (a) in relation to Part II, the Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction signed at the Hague on 25th October 1980, as applied *in* Schedule I to this Act.
- (b) in relation to Part III, the European Convention on Recognition and Enforcement of Decisions concerning Custody of Children and on the Restoration of Custody of Children signed in Luxembourg on 20th May 1980, as applied in Schedule 2 to this Act.

PART II
INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION

Convention to have force of law

3. Subject to the provisions of this Part, the provisions of the Convention shall have the force of law in Montserrat.

States party to the Convention

4. (1) For the purposes of this Act, the States party to the Convention shall be those for the time being specified by an Order of the Governor in Council under this section.

(2) An Order under this section shall specify the date of the coming into force of the Convention as between Montserrat and any country specified in the Order; and, except where the Order otherwise provides, the Convention shall apply as between Montserrat and that country only in relation to wrongful removals or retentions occurring on or after that

date.

Central Authority

5. The functions under the Convention of the Central Authority shall be discharged in Montserrat by the Attorney General and any application under the Convention by or on behalf of any person outside of Montserrat may be addressed to the Attorney General.

Judicial Authority

6. The High Court shall have jurisdiction to entertain applications under the Convention.

Interim powers

7. Where an application has been made to a court in Montserrat under the Convention, the court may, at any time before the application is determined, give such interim directions as it thinks fit for the purpose of securing the welfare of the child concerned or of preventing changes in the circumstances relevant to the determination of the application.

Reports

8. Where the Attorney General is requested to provide information relating to a child under Article 7(d) of the Convention he may—

- (a) request an appropriate person or body of persons to make a report to him in writing with respect to any matter which appears to him to be relevant;
- (b) -request any court to which a written report relating to the child has been made to send him a copy of the report,

and such a request shall be duly complied with.

Proof of documents and evidence

9. (1) For the purpose of Article 14 of the Convention a decision or determination of a judicial or administrative authority outside Montserrat may be proved by a duly authenticated copy of the decision or determination and any document purporting to be such a copy shall be deemed to be a true copy unless the contrary is shown.

(2) For the purposes of subsection (1) a copy is duly authenticated if it bears the seal of the judicial or administrative authority concerned, or is signed by a judge or officer of the authority in question.

(3) For the purpose of Articles 14 and 30 of the Convention any such document as is mentioned in Article 8 of the Convention, or a certified copy of any such document, shall be sufficient evidence of anything stated in it.

Declaration by Court

10. The High Court may, on an application made for the purposes of Article 15 of the Convention by any person

appearing to the court to have an interest in the matter, make a declaration that the removal of any child from, or his retention outside Montserrat was wrongful within the meaning of Article 3 of the Convention.

Rules of Court

11. (1) The Chief Justice may make rules of court for giving effect to this Part as appears to him to be necessary or expedient.

(2) Without prejudice to the generality of subsection (1), rules of court may make provisions—

- (a) with respect to the procedure on applications for the return of a child and with respect to the documents and information to be furnished and notices to be given in connection with any such application;
- (b) for the giving of notices by or to a court for the purposes of the provisions of Article 16 of the Convention and section 9 and generally as respects proceedings to which those provisions apply;
- (c) for enabling a person who wishes to make an application under the Convention in a territory other than Montserrat to obtain from any court in Montserrat an authenticated copy of any decision of that court relating to the child to whom the application is to relate.

PART III

RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF CUSTODY DECISIONS

European Convention to have the force of law

12. Subject to the provisions of this Part, the provisions of the Convention shall have the force of law in Montserrat.

States party to the Convention

13. (1) For the purposes of this Act the States party to the Convention shall be those for the time being specified by the Governor in Council by Order under this section.

(2) An Order under this section shall specify the date of the coming into force of the Convention as between Montserrat and any country specified in the Order.

Central Authority

14. The functions under the Convention of the Central Authority shall be discharged by the Attorney General and any application made under the Convention by or on behalf of any person outside Montserrat may be addressed to the Attorney General.

Recognition of decisions

15. (1) Articles 7 and 12 of the Convention shall have effect in accordance with this section.

(2) A decision to which either of those Articles applies which was made in a State party to the Convention shall be recognised in Montserrat as if made by a court having jurisdiction to make it in Montserrat but—

- (a) the High Court may, on the application of any person appearing to the court to have an interest in the matter, declare on any of the grounds specified in Article 9 and 10 of the Convention that the decision is not to be recognised in Montserrat; and
- (b) the decision shall not be enforceable in Montserrat unless registered in the High Court under section 16.

(3) The references in Article 9(1)(c) of the Convention to the removal of the child are to his improper removal within the meaning of the Convention.

Registration of decisions

16. (1) A person on whom any rights are conferred by a decision relating to custody made by an authority in a State party to the Convention may make an application to the High Court for the registration of the decision in Montserrat.

(2) The Attorney General shall assist in the making of an application under subsection (1) if a request for assistance is made by the person mentioned in subsection (1) or on behalf of that person by the Central Authority of the Contracting State in question.

(3) An application under subsection (1) or a request under subsection (2) shall be treated as a request for enforcement for the purposes of Articles 10 and 13 of the Convention.

(4) The High Court shall refuse to register a decision if—

- (a) the court is of the opinion that on any of the grounds specified in Articles 9 and 10 of the Convention the decision should not be recognised in Montserrat;
- (b) the court is of the opinion that the decision is not enforceable in the State where it was made and is not a decision to which Article 12 of the Convention applies; or
- (c) an application in respect of the child under Part II of this Act is pending.

(5) In this section "**decision relating to custody**" has the same meaning as in the Convention.

Variation and revocation of registered decisions

17. (1) Where a decision which has been registered under section 16 is varied or revoked by an authority in the Contracting State in which it was made, the person on whose behalf the application for registration of the decision was made shall notify the court in which the decision is registered of the variation or revocation.

(2) Where a court is notified under subsection (1) of the revocation of a decision, it shall—

- (a) cancel the registration; and
- (b) notify such persons as may be prescribed by rules of court of the cancellation.

(3) Where a court is notified under subsection (1) of the variation of a decision, it shall—

- (a) notify such persons as may be prescribed by rules of court of the variation; and
- (b) subject to any conditions which may be so prescribed, vary the registration.

(4) The court in which a decision is registered under section 16 may also, on the application of any person appearing to the court to have an interest in the matter, cancel or vary the registration if it is satisfied that the decision has been revoked or, as the case may be, varied by an authority in the State in which it was made.

Enforcement of decisions

18. Where a decision relating to custody has been registered under section 16, the court in which it is registered shall have the same powers for the purpose of enforcing the decision as if it had been made by that court; and proceedings for or with respect to enforcement may be taken accordingly.

Interim powers

19. Where an application has been made to a court for the registration of a decision under section 16 or for the enforcement of such a decision, the court may, at any time before the application is determined, give such interim directions as it thinks fit for the purpose of securing the welfare of the child concerned or of preventing changes in the circumstances relevant to the determination of the application or, in the case of an application for registration, to the determination of any subsequent application for the enforcement of the decision.

Reports

20. Where the Attorney General is requested to make enquiries about a child under Article 15(1)(b) of the Convention he may—

- (a) request the relevant person to make a report to him in writing with respect to any matter relating to the child concerned which appears to him to be relevant;
- (b) request any court to which a written report relating to the child has been made to send him a copy of the report;

and any such request shall be duly complied with.

Proof of documents and evidence

21. (1) In any proceedings under this Part the decision of an authority outside Montserrat may be proved by a duly authenticated copy of the decision, and any document purporting to be such a copy shall be deemed to be a true copy unless the contrary is shown.

(2) For the purposes of subsection (1) a copy is duly authenticated if it bears the seal of the judicial or administrative authority concerned, or is signed by a judge or officer of the authority.

(3) In any proceedings under this Part any such document as is mentioned in Article 13 of the Convention, or a

certified copy of any such document, shall be sufficient evidence of anything stated in it.

Decisions of Montserrat court

22. (1) Where a person on whom any rights are conferred by a decision relating to custody made by a court in Montserrat makes an application to the Attorney General under Article 4 of the Convention with a view to securing its recognition or enforcement in a contracting state, the Attorney General may require **the** court which made the decision to furnish him with all or any of the documents referred to in Article 13 (1)(b), (c) and (d) of the Convention.

(2) Where in any custody proceedings a court in Montserrat makes a decision relating to a child who has been removed from Montserrat, the court may also, on an application made by any person for the purposes of Article 12 of the Convention, declare the removal to have been unlawful if it is satisfied that the applicant has an interest in the matter and that the child has been taken from or sent or kept out of Montserrat without the consent of the person (or, if more than one, all the persons) having the right to determine the child's place of residence under the law of Montserrat in which the child was habitually resident.

(3) In this section "**decision relating to custody**" has the same meaning as in the Convention.

Rules of Court

23. (1) The Chief Justice may make rules of court for giving effect to this Part as appears to him to be necessary or expedient.

(2) Without prejudice to the generality of subsection (1), rules of court may make provisions with respect to the procedure on applications to a court under any provision of this part and with respect to the documents and information to be furnished and notices to be given in connection with any such application.

PART IV SUPPLEMENTARY

Termination of existing custody orders, etc.

24. (1) Where—

- (a) an order is made for the return of a child under Part II; or
- (b) a decision with respect to a child (other than a decision mentioned in subsection (2)) is registered under section 16,

any custody order relating to him shall cease to have effect.

(2) The decision excepted by subsection (1)(b) is a decision which is a decision relating to custody within the meaning of section 16 by virtue of being a decision relating to rights of access.

Expenses

25. There shall be paid out of the Consolidated Fund—

- (a) any expenses incurred by the Attorney General by virtue of this Act; and
- (b) any increase attributable to this Act in the sums so payable under any other Act.

SCHEDULE I
CONVENTION ON THE CIVIL ASPECTS OF
INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION

CHAPTER I
SCOPE OF THE CONVENTION

Article 3

The removal or the retention of a child is to be considered wrongful where—

- (a) it is in breach of rights of custody attributed to a person, an institution or any other body, either jointly or alone, under the law of the State in which the child was habitually resident immediately before the removal or retention; and
- (b) at the time of removal or retention those rights were actually exercised, either jointly or alone, or would have been so exercised but for the removal or retention.

The rights of custody mentioned in sub-paragraph (a) above may arise in particular by operation of law or by reason of a judicial or administrative decision, or by reason of an agreement having legal effect under the law of that State.

Article 4

The Convention shall apply to any child who was habitually resident in a Contracting State immediately before any breach of custody or access rights. The Convention shall cease to apply when the child attains the age of sixteen years.

Article 5

For the purposes of this Convention—

- (a) "rights of custody" shall include rights relating to the care of the person of the child and, in particular, the right to determine the child's place of residence;
- (b) "rights of access" shall include the right to take a child for a limited period of time to a place other than the child's habitual residence.

CHAPTER II
CENTRAL AUTHORITIES

Article 7

Central Authorities shall co-operate with each other and promote co-operation amongst the competent authorities in their respective State to secure the prompt return of children and to achieve the other objects of this Convention.

In particular, either directly or through any intermediary, they shall take all appropriate measures—

- (a) to discover the whereabouts of a child who has been wrongfully removed or retained;
- (b) to prevent further harm to the child or prejudice to interested parties by taking or causing to be taken provisional measures;
- (c) to secure the voluntary return of the child or to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues;
- (d) to exchange, where desirable, information relating to the social background of the child;
- (e) to provide information of a general character as to the law of their State in connection with the application of the Convention;
- (f) to initiate or facilitate the institution of judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to obtaining the return of the child and, in a proper case, to make arrangements for organizing or securing the effective exercise of rights of access;
- (g) where the circumstances so require, to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid and advice, including the participation of legal counsel and advisers;
- (h) to provide such administrative arrangements as may be necessary and appropriate to secure the safe return of the child;
- (i) to keep each other informed with respect to the operation of the Convention and, as far as possible, to eliminate any obstacles to its application.

CHAPTER III

RETURN OF CHILDREN

Article 8

Any person, institution or other body claiming that a child has been removed or retained in breach of custody rights may apply either to the Central Authority of the child's habitual residence or to the Central Authority of any other Contracting State for assistance in securing the return of the child.

The application shall contain—

- (a) information concerning the identity of the applicant, of the child and of the person alleged to have removed or retained the child;
- (b) where available, the date of birth of the child;
- (c) the grounds on which the applicant's claim for return of the child is based;
- (d) all available information relating to the whereabouts of the child and the identity of the person with whom the child is presumed to be.

The application may be accompanied or supplemented by—

- (e) an authenticated copy of any relevant decision or agreement;
- (f) a certificate or an affidavit emanating from a Central Authority, or other competent authority of the State of the child's habitual residence, or from a qualified person, concerning the relevant law of that State;
- (g) any other relevant document. *Article 9*

If the Central Authority which receives an application referred to in Article 8 has reason to believe that the child is in another Contracting State, it shall directly and without delay transmit the application to the Central Authority of that Contracting State and inform the requesting Central Authority, or the applicant, as the case may be.

Article 10

The Central Authority of the State where the child is shall take or cause to be taken all appropriate measures in order to obtain the voluntary return of the child.

Article 11

The judicial or administrative authorities of Contracting States shall act expeditiously in proceedings for the return of children.

If the judicial or administrative authority concerned has not reached a decision within six weeks from the date of commencement of the proceedings, the applicant or the Central Authority of the requested State, on its own initiative or if asked by the Central Authority of the requesting State, shall have the right to request a statement of the reasons for the delay. If a reply is received by the Central Authority of the requested State, that Authority shall transmit the reply to the Central Authority of the requesting State, or to the applicant, as the case may be.

Where a child has been wrongfully removed or retained in terms of Article 3 and, at the date of the commencement of the proceedings before the judicial or administrative authority of the Contracting State where the child is, a period of less than one year has elapsed from the date of the wrongful removal or retention, the authority concerned shall order the return of the child forthwith.

The judicial or administrative authority, even where the proceedings have been commenced after the expiration of the period of one year referred to in the preceding paragraph, shall also order the return of the child, unless it is demonstrated that the child is now settled in its new environment.

Where the judicial or administrative authority in the requested state has reason to believe that the child has been taken to another State, it may stay the proceedings or dismiss the application for the return of the child.

Article 13

Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding Article, the judicial or administrative authority of the requested State is not bound to order the return of the child if the person, institution or other body which opposes its return establishes that—

- (a) the person, institution or other body having the care of the person of the child was not actually exercising the custody rights at the time of removal or retention, or had consented to or subsequently acquiesced in the removal or retention; or
- (b) there is a grave risk that his or her return would expose the child to physical or psychological harm or otherwise place the child in an intolerable situation.

The judicial or administrative authority may also refuse to order the return of the child if it finds that the child objects to being returned and has attained an age and degree of maturity at which it is appropriate to take account of its views.

In considering the circumstances referred to in this Article, the judicial and administrative authorities shall take into account the information relating to the social background of the child provided by the Central Authority or other competent authority of the child's habitual residence.

Article 14

In ascertaining whether there has been wrongful removal or retention within the meaning of Article 3, the judicial or administrative authorities of the requested State may take notice directly of the law of, and of judicial or administrative decisions, formally recognised or not in the State of the habitual residence of the child, without recourse to the specific procedures for the proof of that law or for the recognition of foreign decisions which would otherwise be applicable.

Article 15

The judicial or administrative authorities of a Contracting State may, prior to the making of an order for the return of the child, request that the applicant obtain from the authorities of the State of the habitual residence of the child a decision or other determination that the removal or retention was wrongful within the meaning of Article 3 of the Convention, where such a decision or determination may be obtained in that State. The Central Authorities of the Contracting States shall so far as practicable assist applicants to obtain such a decision or determination.

Article 16

After receiving notice of a wrongful removal or retention of a child in the sense of Article 3, the judicial or administrative authorities of the Contracting State to which the child has been removed or in which it has been retained shall not decide on the merits of rights of custody until it has been determined that the child is not to be returned under this Convention or unless an application under this Convention is not lodged within a reasonable time following receipt of the notice.

Article 17

The sole fact that a decision relating to custody has been given in or is entitled to recognition in the requested State shall not be a ground for refusing to return a child under this Convention, but the judicial or administrative authorities of the requested State may take account of the reasons for that decision in applying this Convention.

Article 18

The provisions of this Chapter do not limit the power of a judicial or administrative authority to order the return of the child at any time.

Article 19

A decision under this Convention concerning the return of the child shall not be taken to be a determination on the merits of any custody issue.

Article 21

An application to make arrangements for organizing or securing the effective exercise of rights of access may be presented to the Central Authorities of the Contracting States in the same way as an application for the return of a child.

The Central Authorities are bound by the obligations of co-operation which are set forth in Article 7 to promote the peaceful enjoyment of access rights and the fulfillment of any conditions to which the exercise of those rights may be subject. The Central Authorities shall take steps to remove, as far as possible, all obstacles to the exercise of such rights. The Central Authorities, either directly or through intermediaries, may initiate or assist in the institution of proceedings with a view to organizing or protecting these rights and securing respect for the conditions to which the exercise of these rights may be subject.

Article 22

No security, bond or deposit, however described, shall be required to guarantee the payment of costs and expenses in the judicial or administrative proceedings falling within the scope of this Convention.

Article 24

Any application, communication or other document sent to the Central Authority of the requested State shall be in the original language, and shall be accompanied by a translation into the official language or one of the official languages of the requested State or, where that is not feasible, a translation into French or English.

Article 26

Each Central Authority shall bear its own costs in applying this Convention.

Central Authorities and other public services of Contracting States shall not impose any charges in relation to applications submitted under this Convention. In particular, they may not require any payment from the applicant towards the costs and expenses of the proceedings or, where applicable, those arising from the participation of legal counsel or advisers. However, they may require the payment of the expenses incurred or to be incurred in implementing the return of the child.

However, a Contracting State may, by making a reservation in accordance with Article 42, declare that it shall not be bound to assume any costs referred to in the preceding paragraph resulting from the participation of legal counsel or advisers or from court proceedings, except insofar as those costs may be covered by its system of legal aid and advice.

Upon ordering the return of a child or issuing an order concerning rights of access under this Convention, the judicial or administrative authorities may, where appropriate, direct the person who removed or retained the child, or who prevented the exercise of rights of access, to pay necessary expenses incurred by or on behalf of the applicant, including travel expenses, any costs incurred or payments made for locating the child, the costs of legal representation of the applicant, and those of returning the child.

Article 27

When it is manifest that the requirements of this Convention are not fulfilled or that the application is otherwise not well founded, a Central Authority is not bound to accept the application. In that case, the Central Authority shall forthwith inform the applicant or the Central Authority through which the application was submitted, as the case may be, of its reasons.

Article 28

A Central Authority may require that the application be accompanied by a written authorisation empowering it to act on behalf of the applicant, or to designate a representative so to act.

Article 29

This Convention shall not preclude any person, institution or body who claims that there has been a breach of custody or access rights within the meaning of Article 3 or 21 from applying directly to the judicial or administrative authorities of a Contracting State, whether or not under the provisions of this Convention.

Article 30

Any application submitted to the Central Authorities or directly to the judicial or administrative authorities of a Contracting State in accordance with the terms of this Convention, together with documents and any other information appended thereto or provided by a Central Authority, shall be admissible in the courts or administrative authorities of the Contracting States.

Article 31

In relation to a State which in matters of custody of children has two or more systems of law applicable in different territorial units—

- (a) any reference to habitual residence in that State shall be construed as referring to habitual residence in a territorial unit of that State;
- (b) any reference to the law of the State of habitual residence shall be construed as referring to the law of the territorial unit in that State where the child habitually resides.

Article 32

In relation to a State which in matters of custody of children has two or more systems of law applicable to

different categories of persons, any reference to the law of that State shall be construed as referring to the legal system specified by the law of that State.

SCHEDULE 2
EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON RECOGNITION AND
ENFORCEMENT OF DECISIONS CONCERNING
CUSTODY OF CHILDREN

Article 1

For the purposes of this Convention:

- (a) **"child"** means a person of any nationality, so long as he is under 16 years of age and has not the right to decide on his own place of residence under the law of his habitual residence, the law of his nationality or the internal law of the State addressed;
- (b) **"authority"** means a judicial or administrative authority;
- (c) **"decision relating to custody"** means a decision of an authority in so far as it relates to the care of the person of the child, including the right to decide on the place of his residence, or to the right of access to him;
- (d) **"improper removal"** means the removal of a child across an international frontier in breach of a decision relating to his custody which has been given in a Contracting State and which is enforceable in such a State; "improper removal" also includes:
 - (i) the failure to return a child across an international frontier at the end of a period of the right of access to this child or at the end of any other temporary stay in a territory other than where the custody is exercised;
 - (ii) a removal which is subsequently declared unlawful within the meaning of Article 12.

Article 4

- (1) Any person who has obtained in a Contracting State a decision relating to the custody of a child and who wishes to have that decision recognised or enforced in another Contracting State may submit an application for this purpose to the central authority in any Contracting State.
- (2) The application shall be accompanied by the documents mentioned in Article 13.
- (3) The central authority receiving the application, if it is not the central authority in the State addressed, shall send the documents directly and without delay to that central authority.
- (4) The central authority receiving the application may refuse to intervene where it is manifestly clear that the conditions laid down by this Convention are not satisfied.
- (5) The central authority receiving the application shall keep the applicant informed without delay of the progress of his application.

Article 5

(1) The central authority in the State addressed shall take or cause to be taken without delay all steps which it considers to be appropriate, if necessary by instituting proceedings before its competent authorities, in order:

- (a) to discover the whereabouts of the child;
- (b) to avoid, in particular by any necessary provisional measures, prejudice to the interests of the child or of the applicant;
- (c) to secure the recognition or enforcement of the decision;
- (d) to secure the delivery of the child to the applicant where enforcement is granted;
- (e) to inform the requesting authority of the measures taken and their results.

(2) Where the central authority in the State addressed has reason to believe that the child is in the territory of another Contracting State it shall send the documents directly and without delay to the central authority of that State.

(3) With the exception of the cost of repatriation, each Contracting State undertakes not to claim any payment from an applicant in respect of any measures taken under paragraph (1) of this Article by the central authority of that State on the applicant's behalf, including the costs of proceedings and, where applicable, the costs incurred by the assistance of a lawyer.

(4) If recognition or enforcement is refused, and if the central authority of the State addressed considers that it should comply with a request by the applicant to bring in that State proceedings concerning the substance of the case that authority shall use its best endeavors to secure the representation of the applicant in the proceedings under conditions no less favourable than those available to a person who is resident in and a national of that State and for this purpose it may, in particular, institute proceedings before its competent authorities.

Article 7

A design relating to custody given in a Contracting State shall be recognised and, where it is enforceable in the State of origin, made enforceable in every other Contracting State.

Article 9

(1) *[Recognition and enforcement may be refused]* if:

- (a) in the case of a decision given in the absence of the defendant or his legal representative, the defendant was not duly served with the document which instituted the proceedings or an equivalent document in sufficient time to enable him to arrange his defence; but such a failure to effect service cannot constitute a ground for refusing recognition or enforcement where service was not effected because the defendant had concealed his whereabouts from the person who instituted the proceedings in the State of origin;
- (b) in the case of a decision given in the absence of the defendant or his legal representative, the competence of the authority giving the decision was not founded:

- i. on the habitual residence of the defendant; or
 - ii. on the last common habitual residence of the child's parents, at least one parent being still habitually resident there, or
 - iii. on the habitual residence of the child;
- (c) the decision is incompatible with a decision relating to custody which became enforceable in the State addressed before the removal of the child, unless the child has had his habitual residence in the territory of the requesting State for one year before his removal.

(3) In no circumstances may the foreign decision be reviewed as to its substance.

Article 10

(1) *[Recognition and enforcement may also be refused]* on any of the following grounds:

- (a) if it is found that the effects of the decision are manifestly incompatible with the fundamental principles of the law relating to the family and children in the State addressed;
- (b) if it is found that by reason of a change in the circumstances including the passage of time but not including a mere change in the residence of the child after an improper removal, the effects of the original decision are manifestly no longer in accordance with the welfare of the child;
- (c) if at the time when the proceedings were instituted in the State of origin:
 - i. the child was a national of the State addressed or was habitually resident there and no such connection existed with the State of origin;
 - ii. the child was a national both of the State of origin and of the State addressed and was habitually resident in the State addressed;
- (d) if the decision is incompatible with a decision given in the State addressed or enforceable in that State after being given in a third State, pursuant to proceedings begun before the submission of the request for recognition or enforcement, and if the refusal is in accordance with the welfare of the child.

(2) Proceedings for recognition or enforcement may be adjourned on any of the following grounds:

- (a) if an ordinary form of review of the original decision has been commenced;
- (b) if proceedings relating to the custody of the child, commenced before the proceedings in the State of origin were instituted, are pending in the State addressed;
- (c) if another decision concerning the custody of the child is the subject of proceedings for enforcement or of any other proceedings concerning the recognition of the decision.

Article 11

(1) Decisions on rights of access and provisions of decisions relating to custody which deal with the rights of access shall be recognised and enforced subject to the same conditions as other decisions relating to custody.

(2) However, the competent authority of the State addressed may fix the conditions for the implementation and exercise of the right of access taking into account, in particular, undertakings given by the parties on this matter.

(3) Where no decision on the right of access has been taken or where recognition or enforcement of the decision relating to custody is refused, the central authority of the State addressed may apply to its competent authorities for a decision on the right of access if the person claiming a right of access so requests.

Article 12

Where, at the time of the removal of a child across an international frontier, there is no enforceable decision given in a Contracting State relating to his custody, the provisions of this Convention shall apply to any subsequent decision, relating to the custody of that child and declaring the removal to be unlawful, given in a Contracting State at the request of any interested person.

Article 13

(1) A request for recognition or enforcement in another Contracting State of a decision relating to custody shall be accompanied by:

- (a) a document authorising the central authority of the State addressed to act on behalf of the applicant or to designate another representative for that purpose;
- (b) a copy of the decision which satisfies the necessary conditions of authenticity;
- (c) in the case of a decision given in the absence of the defendant or his legal representative, a document which establishes that the defendant was duly served with the document which instituted the proceedings or an equivalent document;
- (d) if applicable, any document which establishes that, in accordance with the law of the State of origin, the decision is enforceable;
- (e) if possible, a statement indicating the whereabouts or likely whereabouts of the child in the State addressed
- (f) proposals as to how the custody of the child should be restored.

Article 15

(1) Before reaching a decision under paragraph (1)(b) of Article 10, the authority concerned in the State addressed:

- (a) shall ascertain the child's views unless this is impracticable having regard in particular to his age and understanding; and

(b) may request that any appropriate enquiries be carried out.

(2) The cost of enquiries in any Contracting State shall be met by the authorities of the State where they carried out.

Requests for enquiries and the results of enquiries may be sent to the authority concerned through the central authorities.

Article 26

(1) In relation to a State which has in matters of custody two or more systems of law of territorial application:

- (a) reference to the law of a person's habitual residence or to the law of a person's nationality shall be construed as referring to the system of law determined by the rules in force in that State or, if there are no such rules, to the system of law with which the person concerned is most closely connected;
- (b) reference to the State of origin or to the State addressed shall be construed as referring, as the case may be, to the territorial unit where the decision was given or to the territorial unit where recognition or enforcement of the decision or restoration of custody is requested.

(2) Paragraph (1)(a) of this Article also applies *mutatis mutandis* to States which have in matters of custody two or more systems of law of personal application.

CHILD ABDUCTION AND CUSTODY ORDER

— SECTIONS 4 AND 13

(S.R.O.s 11/1999 and 83/2000)

Commencement

[1 March 1999]

Short title

1. This Order may be cited as the Child Abduction and Custody Order.

States Parties for Part I

2. The States set out in the First Schedule hereto shall be the States parties to the Convention for the purposes of Part I of the Child Abduction and Custody Act with effect from March 1, 1999.

Application

3. The provisions of Part I of the Child Abduction and Custody Act shall apply between Montserrat and a State set out in the Schedule only in relation to wrongful removals or retentions occurring on or after the date of this Order.

States Parties for Part II

4. The States set out in the Second Schedule hereto shall be the parties to the Convention for the purposes of Part II of the Child Abduction and Custody Act with effect from March 1, 1999.

FIRST SCHEDULE

Argentina	Iceland
Australia	Ireland
Austria	Israel
Bahamas	Italy
Belgium	Luxembourg
Belize	Macedonia
Bosnia-Herzegovina	Mauritius
Burkina Faso	Mexico
Canada	Monaco
Alberta	Netherlands
British Columbia	New Zealand
Manitoba	Norway
New Brunswick	Panama
Newfoundland	Poland
Northwest Territories	Portugal
Nova Scotia	Romania
Ontario	St Kitts and Nevis
Prince Edward Island	Slovakia
Quebec	Slovenia
Saskatchewan	South Africa
Yukon Territory	Spain
Chile	Sweden
Colombia	Switzerland
Croatia	Turkmenistan
Cyprus	Turkey
Czech Republic	United Kingdom
Denmark	Cayman Islands
Ecuador	Falkland Islands
Finland	Isle of Man
France	USA
Georgia	Venezuela
Germany	Yugoslavia
Greece	Zimbabwe
Honduras	
Hong Kong (Hksar)	
Hungary	

SECOND SCHEDULE

Austria

Belgium

Cyprus

Denmark

Finland

France

Germany

Greece

Iceland

Ireland

Italy

Liechtenstein

Luxembourg

Malta

Netherlands

Norway

Poland

Portugal

Spain

Sweden

Switzerland

Turkey

United Kingdom

Cayman Islands

Falkland Islands

Isle of Man